# Weak processes in light nuclei

Saori Pastore Topical Collaboration Meeting Santa Fe NM - May 2020

> Washington University in St. Louis Arts & Sciences

Lorenzo Andreoli (PD) Garrett King (GS) Sam Brusilow (UG)

Collaborators: Piarulli (WashU) Carlson & Gandolf (LANL) Schiavilla (ODU+JLab) Wiringa (ANL) Baroni (USC) Girlanda (Salento U.) Marcucci & Viviani (Pisa U/INFN) Mereghetti & Dekens & Cirigliano & Graesser (LANL) de Vries (UMass-Amherst) van Kolck (AU+CNRS/IN2P3)

Resources: DOE-ALCC 0.39 MNH for "Low Energy Neutrino-Nucleus Interactions", SP et al. 2019

## Towards a coherent and unified picture of lepton-nucleus interactions



\*  $\omega \sim$  few MeV,  $q \sim 0$ : EM-decay,  $\beta$ -decay,  $\beta\beta$ -decay \*  $\omega \sim$  few MeV,  $q \sim 10^2$  MeV:  $\mu$ -capture, Neutrinoless  $\beta\beta$ -decay \*  $\omega \lesssim$  tens MeV: Nuclear Rates for Astrophysics \*  $\omega \sim 10^2$  MeV: Accelerator neutrinos, *e*- and *v*-nucleus scattering



## Neutrinos and Nuclei: Challenges and Opportunities



Gysbers et al. Nature Phys.15(2019)

Beta Decay Rate

Alvarez-Ruso arXiv:1012.3871

Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering

## Nuclear Interactions

The nucleus is made of A non-relativistic interacting nucleons and its energy is

$$H = T + V = \sum_{i=1}^{A} t_i + \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} V_{ijk} + \dots$$

where  $v_{ij}$  and  $V_{ijk}$  are two- and three-nucleon operators based on EXPT data fitting and fitted parameters subsume underlying QCD



Nuclear Interactions and Axial Currents

$$H = T + V = \sum_{i=1}^{A} t_i + \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} V_{ijk} + \dots$$

so far results are available with AV18+IL7 ( $A \le 10$ ) and SNPA or chiral currents (*a.k.a.* hybrid calculations)



A. Baroni *et al.* PRC93(2016)015501
H. Krebs *et al.* Ann.Phy.378(2017)

- \* c<sub>3</sub> and c<sub>4</sub> are taken them from Entem and Machleidt PRC68(2003)041001 & Phys.Rep.503(2011)1
- \* *c<sub>D</sub>* fitted to GT m.e. of tritium Baroni *et al.* PRC94(2016)024003
- \* cutoffs  $\Lambda = 500$  and 600 MeV
- include also N4LO 3b currents (tiny)

 \* derived by Park et al. in the '90 used at tree-level in many calculations (Song-Ho, Kubodera, Gazit, Marcucci, Lazauskas, Navratil ...)
 \* pion-pole at tree-level derived by Klos, Hoferichter et al. PLB(2015)B746

## Single Beta Decay Matrix Elements in A = 6-10



gfmc (1b) and gfmc (1b+2b); shell model (1b)

SP et al. PRC97(2018)022501

A. Baroni et al. PRC93(2016)015501 & PRC94(2016)024003

Based on  $g_A \sim 1.27$  no quenching factor GT in <sup>3</sup>H is fitted to expt - 2b give a 2% additive contribution to 1b prediction \* similar results were obtained with MEC currents

\* data from TUNL, Suzuki et al. PRC67(2003)044302, Chou et al. PRC47(1993)163



\* In <sup>10</sup>B,  $\Delta E$  with same quantum numbers  $\sim 1.5$  MeV \* In A = 7,  $\Delta E$  with same quantum numbers  $\gtrsim 10$  MeV

## Chiral calculations of beta decay m.e.'s: Nuclear Interaction



## Nuclear Interactions and Axial Currents



we use Norfolk chiral 2– and 3–body interactions by Piarulli *et al.* and consistent axial currents up to N3LO (tree-level) by A. Baroni *et al.* 



\* *c*<sub>3</sub> and *c*<sub>4</sub> are taken them from Krebs *et al*. Eur.Phys.J.(2007)A32

\*  $(c_D, c_E)$  fitted to:

1. trinucleon B.E. and *nd* doublet scattering length **NV2+3 models** 

or 2. trinucleon B.E. and GT m.e. of tritium **NV2+3\* models** 

## Fitting Strategies for $(c_D, c_E)$



Courtesy of M. Piarulli and the Pisa-group

## Single Beta Decay Matrix Elements in A = 6-10

0.96 1	1.04	0.96 1	1.04	0.96	1 1.04	0.96	1 1.04
<sup>3</sup> H β-decay		<sup>6</sup> He β-decay		<sup>7</sup> Be ε-cap(gs)		<sup>7</sup> Be ε-cap(ex)	
0		•			•	0	
			•				•
<b> </b>	•		•	<b>\$</b>	÷.	<b>\$</b>	÷
					1		1
<sup>8</sup> Li β-decay		<sup>8</sup> B β-decay		<sup>8</sup> He β-decay		<sup>10</sup> C β-decay	
0.		0.		0			•0
■ NV2+3-Ia NV2+3-Ia* AV18+IL7							<b>()</b>
						1.1	

NV2+3-Ia and NV2+3-Ia\*; AV18+IL7 from SP et al. PRC97(2018)022501

1b (empty symbols) and 2b (full symbols) GFMC predictions

King et al. arXiv:2004.05263

\* similar results were obtained with MEC currents

\* data from TUNL, Suzuki et al. PRC67(2003)044302, Chou et al. PRC47(1993)163

## Single Beta Decay Matrix Element Densities in chiEFT



King et al. arXiv:2004.05263

## Some Numbers

	NV2+3-Ia	NV2+3-Ia*
$c_D$	3.666	-0.635
$c_E$	-1.638	-0.090
$z_0$	0.090	1.035

#### Contact current

$$\mathbf{j}_{5,a}^{\text{N3LO}}(\mathbf{q};\text{CT}) = \mathbf{z}_0 \, \mathbf{e}^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{ij}} \, \frac{\mathbf{e}^{-\tilde{\tau}_{ij}^2}}{\pi^{3/2}} \left( \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \times \boldsymbol{\tau}_j \right)_a \left( \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \right) \,,$$

and LECs  

$$z_{0} = \frac{g_{A}}{2} \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{f_{\pi}^{2}} \frac{1}{(m_{\pi}R_{S})^{3}} \left[ -\frac{m_{\pi}}{4g_{A}\Lambda_{\chi}}c_{D} + \frac{m_{\pi}}{3}(c_{3} + 2c_{4}) + \frac{m_{\pi}}{6m} \right],$$

$$c_{D}$$

## Two-body transition densities: A = 3 - 10



King et al. arXiv:2004.05263

## Two-body transition densities: Scaling



NV2+3-Ia empty circles – NV2+3-Ia\* stars different colors refer to different transitions

King et al. arXiv:2004.05263

## Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay



"The average momentum is about 100 MeV, a scale set by the average distance between the two decaying neutrons" cit. Engel&Menéndez

\* Decay rate  $\propto$  (nuclear matrix elements)  $^2 \times \langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle^2$  \*



## F, GT, and T Transition Densities



F=
$$\tau_{1,+} \tau_{2,+}$$
; GT =  $\tau_{1,+} \tau_{2,+} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$ ; T=  $\tau_{1,+} \tau_{2,+} S_{12}$ 

## Double beta-decay Matrix Elements



Momentum Dependence and Sensitivity to N2LO effects *i.e.*, 'dipole' nucleonic form factors and  $v_v^{N2LO-loop}$ 



Peaks at ~ 200 MeV

- \* Form factors on/off  $\rightarrow \sim 10\%$  variation same size as  $v_v^{N2LO-loop}$  from Cirigliano *et al.* arXiv:1710.01729
- \* A = 10 highly suppressed w.r.t. A = 12 (clusterization matter?)
- \* A = 12 'most similar' to experimental cases

## Sensitivity to 'pion-exchange-like' correlations



\* no 'pion-exchange-like' correlation operators Uii

- \* yes 'pion-exchange-like' correlation operators Uij
- \* ~ 10% increase in the matrix elements corresponds to a 'g<sub>A</sub>-quenching' of ~ 0.95
- \* as opposed to  $\sim 0.83$  found in A = 10 single beta decay

\* Correlations reduce the m.e.'s (also true for  $\mu$ 's and GT's) \*

## Benchmark with Shell Model

Model dependence size's space p vs psd & H.O. vs W.S. wave functions



X. Wang et al. Phys. Lett. B 798 (2019) 134974

## Summary and Outlook

Two-nucleon correlations and two-body electroweak currents are crucial to explain available experimental data of both static (ground state properties) and dynamical (cross sections and rates) nuclear observables

- \* We validate the computational framework vs electromagnetic data
- \* Two-body currents can give  $\sim 30-40\%$  contributions and improve on theory/EXPT agreement
- \* Calculations of  $\beta$  and  $\beta\beta$  –decay m.e.'s in  $A \le 12$  indicate two-body physics (currents and correlations) is required
- \* Short-Time-Approximation to evaluate v-A scattering in A > 12 nuclei is in excellent agreement with exact calculations and data
  - \* We are developing a coherent picture for lepton-nucleus interactions \*